ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಯಶೋದರ ದಾನಪ್ಪ.—ನಾವು ಆ ಕಾಲೋನಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹರಿಜನರಿಗೆ ತಲಾ 5 ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವು. ಆದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಜಮೀನೇ ಅಷ್ಟು.

Defalcation of money in Nanjangud Munsiff's Court.

*Q.—320. Sri M. C. BASAPPA (Chamarajanagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that there was defalcation of money in Nanjangud Munsiff's Court;

(*b*) if so, what is the amount defalcated and the period in which it had taken place ;

(*c*) if the amount defalcated relates to deposits made by the parties in suits, what is the relief given by Government to such parties;

(*d*) if the relief is to be given when it will be given ?

A.—Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Minister for Law).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) (1) Rs. 6,263·13 nP.

(2) From 1st January 1952 to end of June 1958.

(*c*) & (*d*) The matter is pending before the High Court for consideration.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಬನಪ್ಪ.—ಆರು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಆರುಸಾವಿರ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆಯಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ದುರುಪಯೋಗವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಇದು ಅಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಕೌಂಟುಗಳು, ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನ ಮೂಲಕ ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಷನ್ನಿಗಾಗಿ ಹೋದಾಗ ಇವು ಗೊತ್ತಾದವು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is the matter *sub judice* or is it any Departmental enquiry by the High Court ? If it is *sub judice*, I do not want to allow the supplementary.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—The matter had been the subject of a departmental enquiry by the District Judge and his findings have been challenged and taken in appeal before the High Court functioning as an administrative unit.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—May I know when the District Judge conducted the enquiry and submitted the report ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—The enquiry by the District Judge appears to have been held sometime before 1959.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—May I know whether any responsibility has been fixed on anybody by the enquiry officer ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—When the matter is in appeal, why dissect it here? Why not wait for the disposal of the appeal ?

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—The enquiry is over, Sir...

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the member refers to the rules, it is very clear. Even enquiry before a tribunal or a departmental enquiry cannot be the subject-matter of the question here. Now the matter is pending in appeal. Is the enquiry over with that ?

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—We do not know who has preferred the appeal.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister says that an appeal has been preferred. Whoever has preferred, the appeal is there.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—The High Court in this case is only the administering authority. It is before the High Court for action.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Sivappa has contributed nothing new. The House is proceeding on the assumption that it is an administrative matter.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—The facts of the matter are that the District Judge, Mysore, held the enquiry into the alleged defalcation by the delinquent official. His report with such findings as may be contained therein, was submitted to the High Court. The delenquint official has preferred an appeal against that finding to the High Court, and the matter is with the High Court at the moment.

Sri D. M. SIDDAIYA.—When was the defalcation traced out, Sir ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—During September 1958 when an inspection was made, the High Court discovered that certain misappropriations had been made and made a report to the Government in that behalf.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—What will happen to the clients ? Government have stated in their answer that clients have made certain deposits and these deposits have been misappropriated.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clients will not lose it.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—I do not think so, because a court of justice is accountable for the moneys deposited in the court.

Sub-Inspectors and other Officers above that rank in the Police Department who have over-stayed in Bangalore.

*Q.—374. Sri B. NANJAPPA (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of Sub-Inspectors and other Officers above that rank in the Police Department working in Bangalore ;

(*b*) since how long they have been staying at Bangalore during their service ;

(*c*) whether action would be taken for the transfer of such of those who have over-stayed in the headquarters ?

A.—Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Home).—

(*a*) 272.

(*b*) 152 Officers are working in Bangalore for more than three years and the remaining have stayed for less than three years. (*Vide* statement placed on the table of the House). (Copy placed in Mysore Legislature Library *Vide* No. 27/11-9-63.)

(*c*) Yes ; transfers will be effected whenever necessary, keeping in view the interest of public service.